Transition radiation from particle showers

Juan Ammerman-Yebra, Jaime Alvarez-Muniz, Enrique Zas IGFAE, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela

ARENA 2024











Introduction

- Transition Radiation (TR) is emitted when a particle crosses the boundary between two media with different dielectric properties.

- This can happen when a particle shower traverses two media (air to ice for example).

- The radio emission strongly depends on the density of the media and in what media the observer is located.



How can we study it with MC simulations?



[J. Alvarez-Muniz, et al Phys. Rev. D 81, 123009 (2010).]

How can we study it with MC simulations?



*One dimensional shower

How do we deal with TR?



- We split the shower in two:

1. **Direct** emission Air tracks 2. **Reflected** emission 3. **Transmitted** emission \rightarrow lce tracks

[C. W. James, et al. PRE 84.5 (2011): 056602.]

- TR arises from the non cancelling endpoints at the interface.

- The formalism makes the **separation of TR** from the shower **impossible**.



A simple view of TR from a particle shower

- Depending on the observer position, there is usually only one contribution from one media that is coherent.



- Idea behind Krijn D. de Vries and Steven Prohira's paper Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 091102.



TR amplifies one of the peaks



Simulation set-up: ZHS-TR

- Modification of the ZHS MC: MC for coherent radio pulses from EM showers (homogeneous media). [E. Zas. et al., PRD 1992, 45, 362-376.]
- Splits the shower in two and has magnetic field deflections. [P. Motloch, et al. PRD 2016, 93, 043010.] [J. Ammerman-Yebra, et al JCAP08(2023)015.]



- 1 PeV e- at 60° with no thinning intersecting

- We will check positions inside and outside the refracted Cherenkov cone.

Results ice to air: near refracted Cherenkov cone

- Observer in Cherenkov angle ($\delta = 0$) sees the usual symmetric bipolar pulse from ice.

- As the observer moves away from the Cherenkov angle, the shape turns asymmetric.

- The asymmetry in the pulse reflects the asymmetric longitudinal profile in the ice.



Z polarization (vertical)

Transition radiation from air to ice

- 55° 1 PeV electron shower (35° elevation).
- Only contribution from the air tracks.
- X polarization -> Askaryan
- Y polarization -> Geomagnetic
- Three cases:
 - No TR
 - Mid TR
 - Max TR





Results: TR at different positions

- All radio pulses are quite similar and with same the same polarity.

- Intercepting the ice surface causes a sudden change in vector potential resulting in narrower pulses than showers with no TR.



Cerenkov cone (1.22°)

Inside Cerenkov cone (1.02°)

ity. otential resulting in narrower



Outside Cerenkov cone (1.42°)

What is going with the polarity?

Time delays of the shower front prevent the time inversion of the Vp from happening.

 $log_{10}(t_r/ns)$ -1.090.91 $t_D + t_r = 10 \text{ ns}$ 250 $t_D + t_r = 100 \text{ ns}$ 200 # $\log_{10}(t_D/\text{ns})$ of 150 particles With TR -150 (max TR) -22 0 $\log_{10}(r/m)$

Typical particle time delays at shower max for an air shower [J. Ammerman-Yebra, et al JCAP08(2023)015.]

Time evolution of the vector potential Inside Cerenkov cone (0°) Outside Cerenkov cone (1.7°) 1e-16 --- t_f = 3.67 µs $-t_f = 3.67 \ \mu s$ - t_f = 11.0 us $t_{e} = 11.0 \ u_{s}$ $t_f = 18.33 \ \mu s$ $t_f = 18.33 \ us$ $t_f = 25.66 \ \mu s$ $t_{e} = 25.66 \ u_{s}$ 32.99 µs $m^{-1})$ $t_f = 40.32 \ \mu s$ $t_f = 40.32 \ \mu s$ $t_f = 47.65 \ us$ $t_f = 47.65 \ \mu s$ S \geq Vp -210 20 30 40-20-1010 20 30 40 0 Time (ns) Time (ns) 1e-16 0.50 0.25 $m^{-1})$ 0.00 σ -0.25 \geq $t_f = 1.83 \ \mu s$ $= 1.83 \ \mu s$ -0.50 $t_f = 5.5 \ \mu s$ $= 9.16 \ \mu s$ -0.75 $t_f = 12.83 \ \mu s$ $t_f = 12.83 \ u_s$ $t_f = 16.49 \ \mu s$ $t_f = 16.49 \ \mu s$ -1.00 $t_f = 20.16 \ \mu s$ 20 30 40 -20-1020 30 40 10 0 10 Time (ns) Time (ns)

1 PeV e- shower at 55°

1e-16

 $(V s m^{-1})$

 V_p

-2

0.25

0.00

(1 - 0.25)

-0.75

-1.00

-20

-10

0

 V_p

-20

1e-16

-10

0

No TR

Results: Realistic scenario, ZHAireS reflex

- Modified version of ZHAireS to calculate the reflected emission.
- 55°, 1 EeV proton in realistic atmosphere. Injection altitude: 100 km.



Results: Max-TR with ZHAireS reflex

- -55° , 1 EeV proton. Injection altitude: 10 km (not a realistic interaction point but we get max TR).
- Intercepts the ice surface at approximately shower maximum.





Results summary

- TR in showers ice->air and air->ice have been studied with detailed MC simulations.
- The coherence of the transition radiation emitted by a particle shower depends greatly on the medium's density.
- Even with TR, the radio emission is beamed near the Cerenkov angle, as in a normal shower.
- For a shower from ice to air there is an inversion of the highest peak of the pulse between an observer inside and outside the refracted Cherenkov cone.
- A detailed simulation shows no polarity inversion in TR for an observer inside or outside the Cherenkov cone for a shower from air to ice with the observer in the air.

Back-up slides

TR from air to ice

Semi-analytical calculation. [de Vries, K. & Prohira, S., Phys. Rev. Lett. 2019, 123, 091102.]

Results suggest a **polarity reversal** between observers **inside** and **outside** the **Cerenkov cone**.



Results: the reflected approximation

Observer placed in the Cherenkov cone. Shower intersecting interface at Xmax.



Geomagnetic effect

Results: TR at different positions

The frequency spectra clearly shows a higher frequency content.



Outside Cerenkov cone (1.42°)



Results: TR as an explanation of the AAE

Shower intersecting interface at Xmax.

Signal convoluted with the impulse response of the ANITA-III instrument.

